

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	THE PORTING OF CALIFORNIA		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u> </u>		
V	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
MING SUN, Defendant.			
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 12/14, 2005. Dayton. The United States was represented by		
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	The United States was represented by		
Assistant U.S. Attorney E. Torpoeo .	. The office diales was represented by		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE			
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later. This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
			dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
		defendant has committed an offense	definent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
		·	orisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
		seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OF	abount of to years of more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
		B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a	firearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that n	o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the		
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of	fthe community		
/X/ No presumption applies.	The community.		
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABL	DEC 14 2005		
	\$ 12 PM		
will be ordered detained.	ny evidence to rebut the applicable presumption is and he therefore		
	SAN JOSE CALIFORNIA		
/ / The detendant has come forward with evide	ence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:		
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Unit	ed States		
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED O			
M/ The United States has proved to a preponder	rance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions		
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as	s required. AND/OR		
	convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions		
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and	the community		
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMEN			
	set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted		
	aprelly with a Violation of Allen Forbourg		
No how free in the United Wallet	11 UNE. He has had man enderson the		
has no significant damily in the			
Alice M. Tule all The Alice	The state of the s		
has a transmit year card. He w	to been in a fraudelle. I maring and he		
	es allasa. He is accused by financial		
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have	Without Algurements. The United States believes		
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
	Jane blan running the brothak		
ections facility senarate to the extent proticella for	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a		
conous facility separate to the extent practicable from per	rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending		
a. This described state of on the request of the state of the second of	unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court		
defendant to the United States Novel 1 Court	overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver		
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge